

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)
(ਅੰਵਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ)
(ਧਾਰਾ 154 ਦੱਡ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਿਆ ਸਿਹੰਤਾ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ)

1. District (ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ) : POLICE COMMISSIONERATE P.S.(ਥਾਣਾ) : DIVISION 6 Year(ਸਾਲ) : 2021
LUDHIANA

FIR No. 0180 Date and Time of FIR 10/07/2021 21:45 hrs
(ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਨੰ): (ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਦੀ ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ):
Is FIR election related (Yes/No) No Election Type (ਚੋਣ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ): NA
(ਕੀ ਚੋਣ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਿਤ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਹੈ?)
(ਹਾਂ / ਨਹੀਂ):

S.No.(ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Acts (ਕਾਨੂੰਨ)	Sections (ਧਾਰਾਵਾਂ)
1	IPC 1860	376
2	IPC 1860	354
3	IPC 1860	354-A
4	IPC 1860	506
5	IPC 1860	120-B

3. (a) Occurrence of offence (ਅਪਰਾਧ ਦੀ ਘਟਨਾ):

1. Day(ਦਿਨ): Intervening Day Date From 04/08/2020 Date To 01/12/2020
(ਤਰੀਕ ਤੋਂ): (ਤਰੀਕ ਤਕ):
Time Period Time From 10:00 hrs Time To 17:00 hrs
(ਸਮਾਂ ਮਿਆਦ): (ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ): (ਸਮੇਂ ਤਕ):

(b) Information received at P.S.(ਥਾਣੇ Date 10/07/2021 Time 19:15 hrs
ਵਿਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ): (ਮਿਤੀ): (ਸਮਾਂ):

(c) General Diary Reference Entry No. 028 Date & Time 10/07/2021 19:15 hrs
(ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਸੰਦਰਭ): (ਪ੍ਰਵਿਸ਼ਟੀ ਨੰ): (ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ):

4. Type of Information (ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ): Written

5. Place of Occurrence (ਘਟਨਾ ਸਥਾਨ):

1. (a) Direction and distance from P.S. Beat No.
(ਥਾਣੇ ਤੋਂ ਦੂਰੀ ਅਤੇ ਦਿਸ਼ਾ): SOUTH-WEST, 5 Km(s) (ਬੀਟ ਨੰ.):

(b) Address St no 5,simarjit bains office ,Kot mangal singh ,Ludhiana
(ਪਤਾ):

(c) In case, outside the limit of this Police Station, then
(ਜੇਕਰ ਥਾਣਾ ਸੀਮਾ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਹਰ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ)

Name of P.S. District(State)
(ਥਾਣੇ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ): (ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ (ਰਾਜ)):

6. Complainant / Informant (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ /ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ):

- (a) Name (ਨਾਮ): Gurdip kaur
 (b) Husband's Name (ਧਰਮੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ) : Late S Jaspal singh
 (c) Date/Year of Birth (ਜਨਮ ਤਰੀਕ/ਸਾਲ): 1977
 (e) UID No(ਯੂਆਈਡੀ ਨੰ.): (d)Nationality(ਰਾਸ਼ਟਰੀਅਤਾ): INDIA
 (f) Passport No. (ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਨੰ.): Date of Issue (ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ):
 Place of Issue
 (ਜਾਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਸਥਾਨ):
 (g) Id details (Ration Card,Voter ID Card,Passport,UID No.,

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Id Type (ਪਛਾਣ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Id Number (ਪਛਾਣ ਨੰਬਰ)
1		

(h) Address (ਪਤਾ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Address Type (ਪਤੇ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Address (ਪਤਾ)
1	Present Address	1251/B, ST NO 11 Ishar nager , backside G N E college,near podar international,school district ludhiana ,DEHLON,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
2	Permanent Address	1251/B, ST NO 11 Ishar nager , backside G N E college,near podar international,school district ludhiana ,DEHLON,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA

(i) Occupation (ਕਿੱਤਾ):

- Phone number
 (j) (ਟੈਲੀਫੋਨ ਨੰ.): Mobile
 (ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਨੰ.):

Details of known/suspected/unknown accused with full particulars

7. (ਵਾਕਿਫ਼/ਸ਼ੱਕੀ/ਅਗਿਆਤ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦਾ ਪੂਰੇ ਵੇਰਵੇ ਸਹਿਤ ਵਰਣਨ):

Accused More Than(ਇੱਕ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਅਪਰਾਧੀ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ):

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ)	Name (ਨਾਮ)	Alias (ਉਪਨਾਮ)	Relative's Name (ਰਿਸ਼ਤੇਦਾਰ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ)	Present Address (ਮੌਜੂਦਾ ਪਤਾ)
1	Simarjeet singh bains		Father's Name : Mohan singh	1. H NO 9757,Street no 5 Kot mangal singh,Ludhiana , POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
2	Karamjit singh		Father's Name : Mohan singh	1. street no 5,kot mangal singh , ludhiana ,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA

3	Baljinder kuar			1. st no 11,Mani General store main road ,isher nager oop drmadgill opp,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
---	----------------	--	--	--

4	Jasbir kaur	Bhabhi		1. st no 5,near simarjit bains office,kot mangal singh ludhiana,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
---	-------------	--------	--	--

5	Sukhchain singh			1. st no 6,block C isher nager , ludhiana ,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
---	-----------------	--	--	---

6	Paramjit singh	pamma		1. H NO 9757,St no 5 ,kot mangal school Ludhiana, POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
---	----------------	-------	--	--

7	Gogi sharma PA			1. Ludhiana,POLICE COMMISSIONERATE LUDHIANA,PUNJAB,INDIA
---	----------------	--	--	--

8. **Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant**
(ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੇਰੀ ਨਾਲ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕਾਰਨ):

9. **Particulars of properties of interest (ਸਬੰਧਤ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ):**

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Property Category (ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ)	Property Type (ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ)	Description (ਵੇਰਵਾ)	Value(In Rs/-) (ਰਕਮ (ਰੁ ਚ))
--------------------	---	------------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------

10 **Total value of property stolen(In Rs/-)**

. (ਚੋਰੀ ਹੋਈ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦਾ ਕੁੱਲ ਮੁੱਲ(ਰੁ ਵਿੱਚ)):

11 **Inquest Report / U.D. case No., if any (ਤਫਤੀਸ਼ੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ / ਯੂ.ਡੀ.ਪ੍ਰਕਰਣ ਸੰ. , ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਵੇ):**

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	UIDB Number (ਯੂ.ਡੀ.ਪ੍ਰਕਰਣ ਸੰ.)
-----------------	--------------------------------

12 First Information contents (ਵੇਰਵਾ):

ਇੱਕ ਹੁਕਮ ਨੰ 452 dated: 07.07.2021 ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ Sri Harsimranjit singh Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Ludhiana (UID PB 0234) ਬਜ਼ਰੀਆ ਨਾਇਬ ਕੋਰਟ ਥਾਣਾ ਮੋਸੂਲ ਹੋਇਆ ਕਿ ਗੁਰਦੀਪ ਕੌਰ W/o ਲੇਟ ਸ.ਜਸਪਾਲ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰ 1251/ਬੀ, ਗਲੀ ਨੰ 11 ਈਸ਼ਰ ਨਗਰ ਬੈਕਸਾਈਡ G.N.E ਕਾਲਜ, ਨੇੜੇ ਪਾਉਡਰ ਇੰਟਰਨੈਸ਼ਨਲ ਸਕੂਲ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇੱਕ 156(3) Crpc ਦੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਮਜ਼ਬੂਤ ਜ਼ੈਲ ਹੈ ਕਿ IN THE COURT OF LD CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE LUDHIANA APPLICATION NO. OF 2020 Gurdip kaur widow of late s. Jaspal singh aged about 44 years, resident of house no 1251/B, street no 11 ishar nager Backside G.N.E College near podar international school, district ludhiana Complainant versus 1. Simarjeet Singh Bains, Son of Mohan Singh resident of House No.9757, Street No.5, Kot Mangal School, Ludhiana.M: 9855093795 2. Karamjit Singh Son of Mohan Singh resident of Kot Mangal Singh Street No 5 Ludhiana M: 98724-90407 3. Baljinder Kaur resident of Mani General Store Main Road Isher Nagar Opp. DrModgill Opp. St No. 11 R M: 98144-44936 4. Jasbir Kaur @ Bhabhi resident of St No 5 Near Simarjit Bains Office Kot Mangal Singh Ludhiana 5. Sukhchain Singh resident of St No. 6 Block C Isher Nagar Ludhiana. M: 95015-00756 & 99884-37007 6. Paramjit singh @ pamma mb no 98724-90407 resident of house no 9757 street no 5 kot mangal school ludhiana. 7. Gogi sharma P.A Accused Respondents. 8. state of punjab 9. the commissioner of police ludhiana. Official respondents Application. Under sections 156(3) Crpc for directing the respondent no 9 for the registration of FIR against accused/respondent no 1 to 7 under sections 376,354,354-A,506 and 120-B IPC and other sections. RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH 1. That accused no.1 is MLA Punjab and even his one other brother Balwinder Singh Bains is also MLA Punjab. Accused no. 1 is president of one political party by the name Lok insaf Party. That accused no. 1 through his party has been forming alliances with many political parties as such he is having big clout in the present political setup and government administration including police. 2. That accused no.5 helped the complainant to purchase one house in January 2018 in Block - C, Ishaar Nagar, Ludhiana measuring 75 square yards for Rs. 18 Lakhs wherein cash of Rs. 11 Lakhs was paid by the complainant and

Rs.10 Lakh loan was secured from Vijaya Bank, Focal Point Branch. . Ludhiana by one mr Gupta in March 2018 through accused no.5. Out of Rs. 10 lakhs, accused no.5 gobbled up Rs. 1,25,000 Lakhs as expenses for securing loan. The sale deed was executed on 09.03.2018 after the loan was approved though complainant came into possession of house in January 2018. 3. That on 26.04.2019 Accused no.5 arranged one election meeting for Accused no.1 in his house and on being invited by Accused no.5, complainant attended that meeting wherein Accused no. 1 came there Thereafter Accused no.1 called the complainant number of times on the pretext of availing some telephone facilities as the complainant was working with Connect. 4. That the husband of the complainant had died on 01.02.2018 and her family's economic condition was weak and hence the loan installments became sticky and the complainant defaulted for installments. The bank staff visited the work place of complainant i.e. Connect Broadband, Mall Road office in the last week of June 2019 and their junior sales manager threatened to dispossess and pressurized the complainant to surrender possession and thereafter she was receiving calls from the bank officials and they also served possession notice upon complainant in August 2019. 5. That in August 2019, when the bank was pressurizing the complainant, she went to the office of Accused no.1 for help and after listening to the problem of the complainant he suggested that these people are hand in glove with mafia. The broker, dealer and bank staff are all hand in glove with each other. 6. That complainant told Accused no.1 that the dealer Accused no.5 is offering to pay Rs. 4,50,000 lakh cash and one plot of 60 square yards in Jassowal because he claims that he has no ready money and the worth of the plot is around Rs. 3000 per square yard. 7. That Accused no. 1 advised her that the offer is genuine and she should agree for the same otherwise she will get nothing. 8. That Complainant told accused no.1 that she does not want to build any house at Jassowal and the dealer Accused no.5 told her that he does not have payment and she will have to take the plot and further told that he will make The sale of the plot later on between Rs. 2500-3000 per square yard and give her the money. As such, he

asked her to enter into full and final payment agreement only for 60 square yards plot. 9. That accused no.1 advised the complainant to trust the dealer and enter into the deal and if there is any problem then he will get it sorted out. On the faith of his representation, in the month of November 2019, Accused no.5 took the possession of the property from the complainant and hired her one house on rent @ Rs. 5000 per month and shifted her there. Thereafter he took Power Of Attorney from the complainant in his name on 13.09.2019 and paid Rs. 10,000 and thereafter on the next day, he made the payment of Rs. 4,40,000 / 10. The complainant has been asking Accused no.5 to sell the plot at Jassowal and make the payment to her but he has been putting off the matter. After the lockdown, in the last week of March 2020 the financial position of the complainant became very weak as business of her son was virtually closed and more particularly, the landlord was demanding rent and was threatening to dispossess on non-payment of the same. When she asked Accused no.5 to make the payment, he was putting off the matter stating that due to lockdown, prices have gone down and now the price of plot is hardly Rs. 1500/1600 per square yard because of which the complainant once again approached Accused no.1 on 04.08.2020 on telephone and he called her at his office and forcibly raped her in the cabin of his office. The complainant helplessly kept on crying but Accused no.1 did not stop and after committing the act told her that if she keeps on submitting herself to him then he will get her payment from Accused no.5 and also get good work for her sons and in she discloses this to anyone then he will not spare her nor her sons and further threatened that the way he has removed her from her house, in the same manner he will remove her entire family from this earth The complainant was highly terrified On that day , accused no.1 immediately told Accused no.5 on the telephone to make the payment of Rs.25.000. 11.That on 08.08.2020 complainant went to the office of accused no 5 and demanded money but he told her to wait for few days and ultimately accused no. 5 called complainant on her phone and asked her to collect the money on 13.08.2020 and accused no.5 made payment of Rs. 20,000 and promised to make the

balance payment of Rs. 5000 later on. But the complainant kept on asking the money and he has been keeping off the matter and was also speaking rudely on phone. On 09.09.2020, the complainant called accused no. 5 a number of times asking for payment and ultimately at 8:30 pm. he asked her to come near Gill Garden and when she reached there then he called her trolley and when she reached there, she was asked to sit in a car wherein accused no. 5 and other person in drunken situation were already sitting and then the payment of Rs. 5000 was made. The gesture and the body language of Accused no.5 and said other person was not very good and infact, they were trying to make advances by their gestures but the complainant took the money and went away 12. That thereafter, Accused no.1 started calling her in his office and started exploiting her physically. The complainant was trapped in a situation and after 3/4 instances she talked to accused no.3 who is ward president of accused no.'s party and has a Karyana Shop near the complainant's house. The complainant shared her plight with her and also showed her exchanged SMS messages with accused no.1. The said accused no.3 immediately taking telephone from the hand of the complainant deleted the messages and told her why she is retaining this evidence and further told her that Accused no.1 is a powerful man and she should not resist him rather, remain in his "Jutti" and she need not worry accused no. I will solve all her problems. By that time, Accused no.1 taking advantage of her situation had physically exploited the complainant 4-5 times by calling her in his office and once in the house of accused no. 4 resident of neighborhood of accused no.1. The accused no.1 used to call complainant in afternoon time and used to exploit her after 1 pm when the staff working in his office used to finish their work for the day or used to call her late in the evening about 7-9 pm and make her sit in a separate room asking her to keep the lights off. 13. That the complainant was again called by accused no. 1 in his office on 21.09.2020 and on that day complainant called accused no. 3 and recorded her conversation with her. In the conversation she has clearly stated the situation faced by her which is self-explanatory. On that day again The complainant was

physically exploited and 2-3 times thereafter by calling her on phone. 14. That then complainant was called to accused no.4' house on 29.09.2020 at noon time and exploited again. Thereafter, she was again called at accused no 4 house on 01.10.2020 at noon time when accused no.4 was not present but her son was present who is a young boy of 26 years and when alter inquiring about the accused no.4 that she is not home, complainant was leaving the house, the complainant was asked to wait by accused no.4's son and was told that this has been asked by accused no.1. And on that day, Accused no. 1 forcibly raped her in the presence of that boy despite the forcibly raped her in the presence of that boy despite the complainant was resisting his such act in the presence of the boy. From 04.08.2020 to 01.10.2020 Accused no.1 had forcible sex with the complainant 10-12 times against her will by exploiting the situation created by him. 15. That complainant has filed a complaint before the Hon'ble Chief Minister. Punjab against Accused no.5 through his OSD Sh. Ankit Bansal by personally handing it over to him on 20.09.2020. On 08.10.2020 complainant received telephone from ADCP - 2 office and thereafter on 09.10.2020 she appeared before Sh. Jaskaranjit Singh Teja, SP where Accused no.5 was also called and after listening to both the parties, police forced the complainant to settle the matter and asked Accused no.5 to make payment of Rs. 60,000 and under threat and coercion the complainant was forced to sign a statement. 16. That after 12.10.2020, accused no.2 started repeatedly making WhatsApp calls and video calls to complainant and started sending obscene messages and was also threatening and asking her for physical relations by calling her to his factory. In the meantime, Accused no 1. tried to contact her and once after 01.10.2020 she visited the office of Accused no.1 on 29.10.2020 but met him in the open office and refused to meet him separately though he asked her to sit in his cabin but she refused on the pretext that she is tired but Accused no.1 commented that he will remove her fatigue, shocked the complainant came back to her house and while coming he again threatened her that whenever he will call her she will have to come and also said that complainant has not done good with Accused no.5.

Thereafter, the complainant has been receiving messages from Accused no.2 who's persistently making calls and calling her to his factory and he has also been threatening that if she dares to tell anybody, both her sons would be got killed and further threatened that police cannot cause any harm to them. Accused no.1 under the pretext of getting her money from accused no. 5 has been repeatedly raping complainant in the cabin of his office and in the house of accused no 4. 17. That all the accused are hand in glove with each other. The accused no.1 has raped the complainant and issued threats to her life and her son's life while the rest of the accused are involved in criminal conspiracy to pressurize and threaten the complainant to submit to accused no.1 to satisfy his lust. 18. That on 16.11.2020, accused no.2 came to the house of the complainant and was making repeated calls but complainant was not picking up the calls and ultimately she picked few calls and accused no. 2 was asking her to come outside the house and talk to him but the complainant refused to oblige by making an excuse. Therefore he forcibly entered the house and fell into the feet of the complainant and begged her that she should not report the matter to any police authorities regarding the wrong committed by accused no. 1 and 2. The complainant with great difficulty asked him to go away. The complainant there after made one hand written complaint hurriedly and with the assistance of someone reached the office of respondent no. 9 and submitted the complaint. Thereafter the accused no. 7 went to the house of the father of the complainant and threatened him to take money and withdraw the complaint and also made him talk to accused no. 1 from his telephone who also threatened her father but he avoided the talk saying that complainant is not in his control. Accused no.6, brother of accused no.1. on the same day went to the factory of the complainant's brother and made similar offer and issued threats and thereafter they are giving similar threats through numerous persons and are trying numerous tricks to cast aspersions on her character with the sole intention to break the complainant mentally so that she is forced to withdraw the complaint. 19. That law is well settled by the Hon'ble Apex Court in numerous judgments that once information regarding

commission of a cognizable offense is disclosed, police are duty bound to register the FIR. In the instant case, the complainant has been repeatedly raped and threatened. crimes of such serious nature and gravity and yet under pressure of accused police is doing nothing. The law does not require reasonable information not even a prima facie case, only requirement is information regarding commission of a cognizable offense has to be disclosed. Here the complainant has given much more than what is the requirement under law for registration of an FIR u / s 154 Cr.P.C. Complainant has given enough evidence with specific dates corroborated by WhatsApp messages / voice messages / call details etc. Thus police ought to have registered FIR without any delay. Hence, the present application. 20. That the complainant had first of all filed a complaint dated 16.11.2020 with respondent no. 9, again sent reminder to him on 21.11.2020 and has thereafter filed another one on 01.12.2020 but no action has been taken by the official respondents. It is pertinent to mention that due to the inaction of the police and threats from the accused, the complainant has also filed CRM M No.39489 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court. It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the respondent no. 9 be directed to register FIR under Sections 376, 354, 354 - A. 506 and 120 - B IPC and other Sections against the accused / respondents and further legal action be taken against the accused after registration of FIR, in the interests of justice. COMPLAINANT PLACE: Ludhiana Dated: 03.12.2020 THROUGH COUNSEL. (Pankaj Bhardwaj) P-485-2011 & (Munish thakur) P-1756-2015 & (Parminder Pal singh) P-537-2000, (Neeraj kumar nanda) P-1581-2011 & (Keshav Malhotra) P-414-2017 & (Viraj Virochan) P-3679-2019 (Himanshu Dhuper) P-3639-2016 Advocates Counsels for the complainant. ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਦੋਰਾਨ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਜੱਜ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਨੇ ਮਿਤੀ 7-7-2021 ਨੂੰ ਉਕਤਾਨ ਦੋਸੀਆਨ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਦਰਜ ਲਈ ਹੁਕਮ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜੋ ਹੁਕਮ ਦਾ ਮਜਬੂਨ ਜੈਲ ਹੈ ਕਿ CIS No CRM / 3542/2020 Gurdip Kaur Vs. Simarjeet Singh Bains & Ors. Present: Sh. Harish Rai Dhanda, Advocate counsel for the applicant ORDER 1. This order of mine shall dispose of an application / complaint under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. moved by complainant Gurdip Kaur seeking directions to Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

(respondent No 9) for registration of FIR under Sections 376,354,354 - A, 506 and 120 - B IPC, against Simarjeet Singh Bains, Karamjit Singh. Baljinder Kaur. Jasbir Kaur, Sukhchain Singh, Paramjit Singh and Gogi Sharma (respondents No.1 to 7) 2 At the very outset, it is imperative to note that earlier present application was disposed vide order dated 24.12.2020 by the court of Sh Palwinder Singh, Ld. JMJC, Ludhiana and application was ordered to be treated as complaint. However, the complainant filed a revision petition against the order dated 24.12.2020 and the same was accepted by the court of Sh. Raj Kumar, Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide judgement dated 7.6.2021 and the impugned order dated 24.12.2020 was set aside and the matter was remanded back to decide it a fresh in accordance with law, 3. Tersely put, it has been averred in the instant application that accused No.1 i.e. Simarjeet Singh Bains is MLA of Punjab and President of one political party by the name of Lok Insaf Party, his brother Balwinder Singh Bains is also sitting MLA of Punjab. As such, he is having big clout in the present political setup & government administration including police. Complainant / applicant divulged that Accused No 5 helped the complainant to purchase one house in January 2018 in Block - C, Ishar Nagar, Ludhiana measuring 75 sq yards for Rs. 18 lacs, whereas cash of Rs.11 lacs were paid by her & loan of Rs.10 lacs was secured from Vijaya Bank, Focal Point Branch, Ludhiana by one Mr. Gupta in March 2018 through accused No.5 i.e. Sukhchain Singh. Out of Rs.10 lacs, accused No.5 gobbled up Rs. 1,25,000 / - as expenses for securing loan. The sale deed was executed on 09.03.2018 & she came into possession of house in January, 2018. On 26.04.2019, accused No 5 arranged one election meeting for Simarjeet Singh Bains in his house & she also attended that meeting. Accused No.1 called her number of times on the pretext of availing some telephone facilities as she was working with Connect. Her husband had died on 01.02.2018 & her family's economic condition was frail & hence the loan installments became sticky & she defaulted in payment of installments. The bank staff visited her work place in the last week of June, 2019 & their Junior Sales Manager threatened to

dispossess & pressurized her to surrender possession & thereafter she was receiving calls from the bank officials & they also served dispossession notice upon her house in August , 2019. So, she went to the office of accused No. 1 for help & after listening to her grievance, he suggested that the broker, dealer & bank staff are all hand in glove with mafia. She told accused No.1 that the dealer i.e. accused No.5 is offering pay Rs. 4,50,000 / - lacs cash & one plot measuring 60 sq. yards in Jassowal because he claims that he has no ready money & the worth of the plot is around Rs.3000 per sq.yards. Accused No.1 advised her that the offer is genuine & she should agree for the same otherwise she will get nothing. She told accused No.1 that she does not want to build any house at Jassowal & accused No.5 told her that he does not have payment & she will have to take the plot & further stated that he will help in the sale of the plot later on between Rs.2500-3000 / - per sq.yards & give her money. It is submitted that accused No.1 advised the complainant to trust the dealer & enter into the deal & if there is any problem then he will get it sorted out. On the faith of his representation, in the month of November, 2019, accused No.5 took possession of her property & hired her one house on rent @ Rs.5000 / - per month & shifted her there. Thereafter, he took Power of Attorney from her in his name on 13.09.2019 & paid Rs.10,000 / - & thereafter on next day, he made payment of Rs.4.40,000 / -. She has been asking accused No5 to sell the plot at Jassowal & make the payment to her but he has been putting off thematter. In the last week of March, 2020 due to the lock down, her financial position became utterly weak as the business of her son was virtually closed & the landlord was threatening her to dispossess due to non payment of rent. When she asked accused No.5 to make the payment, he said due to lock down, prices have gone down & the price of plot is hardly Rs.1500 / 1600 per sq. yard because of which she once again approached accused No.1 on 04.08.2020 on telephone & he called her at his office & forcibly raped her in the cabin of his office. She helplessly kept on crying but he did not stop & told her that if she keeps on submitting herself to him that he will get her payment from accused No.5 & also get

good work for her sons & if she discloses this to anyone then he will not spare her nor her sons & threatened that he will remove herentire family from this earth. On that day, accused No.1 immediately told accused No.5 on the telephone to make the payment of Rs. 25,000 / -. On 08.08.2020 she went to the office of accused No.5 & demanded money but he told her to wait for few days & ultimately accused No.5 called on her phone & asked her to collect the money on 13.08.2020 & he made payment of Rs.20,000 / - & promised to make the balance payment of Rs.5000 / - letter on. But she kept on asking the money & he has been keeping off the matter & was also speaking rudely on phone. It is further submitted that on 09.09.2020, complainant called accused No.5 a number of times asking for payment & ultimately at 8:30 PM, he asked her to come near Gill Garden and when she reached there, she was asked to sit in a car wherein accused No.5 & other person in drunken situation werealready sitting & then the payment of Rs.5000 / - were made. The gesture & the body language of accused No.5 & other persons was not very good & infact, they were trying to make advances by their gestures but she took the money & went away. Thereafter, accused no. 1 started calling her in his office & started exploiting her physically. She was trapped in a situation & after 3/4 instances she talked to accused No.3 who is Ward President of accused No.1's party & has a Karyana shop near the complainant's house. The accused No.3 immediately took telephone from her hand & deleted the messages & told her why she is retaining this evidence & further said that accused No.1 is a powerful man & she should not resist him rather, remain in his "Jutti" & she need not worry as accused No.1 will solve all her problems. By that time, accused No.1 taking advantage of his situation had physically exploited the complainant 4-5 times by calling her in his office & once in the house of accused No.4 resident of neighborhood of accused No.1. The accused No. 1 used to call her in afternoon time & used to exploit her after 1 PM when the staff working in his office used to finish their work for the day or used to call her late in the evening about 7-9 PM & make her sit in A separate room asking her to keep the lights off. She was again called by accused No. 1

in his office on 21.09.2020 & on that day she called accused No.3 & recorded her conversation. In the said conversation, she has clearly stated that the situation faced by her which is self-explanatory. On that day, again she was physically exploited & 2-3 times thereafter by calling her on phone. She was called to accused No.4's house on 29.09.2020 at noon time & exploited again. Thereafter, she was again called at accused No.4's house on 01.10.2020 at noon time when he was not present but her son aged about 26 years was present who told her to wait on asking of accused No.1. On that day, accused No 1 forcibly raped her in the presence of that boy. From 04.08.2020 to 01.10.2020, accused no. 1 had forcible sexual intercourse with her 10-12 times against her will by exploiting the situation created by him. 5. It is further exhorted that the complainant has filed a complaint before the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Punjab against accused No.5 through his OSD Sh. Ankit Bansal by personally handing it over to him on 20.09.2020. On 08.10.2020 she received a telephonic call from ADCP - 2 office & thereafter on 09.10.2020 she appeared before Sh. Jaskaranjit Singh Teja, SP, where accused No.5 was also called & after listening to both the parties, police forced her to settle the matter & asked accused No.5 to make payment of Rs.60,000 / - & under threat & coercion she was forced to sign a statement. After 12.10.2020, accused No.2 started repeatedly making Whatsapp calls & video calls to her & started sending obscene messages & was also threatening & asking her for physical relations by calling her to his factory. In the meantime, accused No.1 tried to contact her & one after 01.10.2020 she visited the office of accused No.1 on 29.10.2020 but met him in the open office & refused to meet him separately though he asked her to sit in his cabin but she refused on the pretext that she is tired but accused No.1 commented that he will remove her fatigue, shocked the complainant came back to her house & while coming he again threatened her that whenever he will call her she will have to come & also said that she has not done good with accused No.5. Thereafter, she has been receiving messages from accused No.2 who's persistently making calls & calling her to his factory & he has also been threatening

that if she dares to tell anybody, both her sons should be got killed & further threatened that police can't cause any harm to them. Accused No.1 under the pretext of getting her money from accused No.5 has been repeatedly raping her in the cabin of his office & in the house of accused No.4. On 16.11.2020, accused No.2 came to her house & was making repeated calls but she was not picking up the calls & ultimately she picked few calls & accused No.2 was asking her to come outside the house & talk to him but she refused to oblige by making an excuse. Therefore, he forcibly entered the house & fell in her feet & begged her that she should not report the matter to any police authorities regarding the wrong committed by accused No.1 & 2. She thereafter made one hand written complaint hurriedly & with the assistance of someone reached the office of respondent No.9 & submitted the complaint. Thereafter, the accused No.7 went to the house of her father & threatened him to take money & withdraw the complaint & also made him talk to accused No. 1 from his telephone who also threatened her father but he avoided the talk saying that she is not in his control. On the same day, accused No.6, brother of accused No.1 went to the factory of her brother & made similar offer & extended threats & thereafter they are giving similar threats through numerous persons & are trying numerous tricks to cast aspersions on her character with the sole intention to break her mentally so that she is forced to withdraw the complaint. On the basis of these allegations, the applicant implored that criminal case under Sections 376, 354, 354 - A, 506 and 120 - B IPC be registered against accused No.1 to 7.6. I have heard learned counsel for the complainant and gone through the application as well as documents filed by the complainant under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. , before this court. 7. Extreme circumstances call for extreme measures. Extreme, indeed, are the circumstances in the case at hand. The unsuspecting victim is a hapless woman stricken by adversity who was unabashedly exploited by the so called savior and his coterie. With the first responder, police agency having turned a blind eye to her heinous abuse, she knocked the door of the court as a last resort. 8. At the outset, it is expedient to recollect that as per Section

156 (3) Cr.P.C. , any magistrate empowered under Section 190 Cr.P.C. , may order investigation into cognizable offense, regarding the offense within territorial jurisdiction of the said court. The Hon'ble Apex Court in Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. 2008 (1) RCR (Cri.) 392, has laid down that if the Magistrate is satisfied that the proper investigation has not been conducted, then he can direct the incharge of the concerned police station to make proper investigation under Section 156 (3). Cr.PC Thus, it is abundantly clear that registration of FIR in cognizable cases is mandate of law. 9. Further more, constitutional Bench of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Lalita Kumari Vs. Govt. of UP (2014) 2 SCC 1 (S.C.) has enjoined that essential requirement for recording an FIR is that there must be information and that the information must disclose a cognizable offense. If information falling within the meaning of Sub Section (1) of Section 154 is led before an Officer Incharge of a Police Station, he has no option but to enter substance of that information in the prescribed form and to register a case on the basis of such information. It has been further held that whether the information is falsely given or whether the same is genuine and credible etc. are the issues that have to be verified during the investigation of the FIR. In this landmark judgment, the Hon'ble Supreme Court carved out some exceptions to the mandatory registration of FIR and for conduct of preliminary inquiry but the present case does not fall into any of those exceptions. Even in cases where preliminary inquiry is warranted as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this judgment, the scope of preliminary inquiry is not to verify the veracity or otherwise of the information received but only to ascertain whether the information reveals any cognizable offense. . Further more, it has been enjoined in this judgment that a preliminary inquiry should be made time bound and in any case it should not exceed 7 days . But in the present case , the police has failed to take any action for last so many months inspite of the fact that applicant has been running from pillar to post to get justice . Shockingly , despite various judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court , it has been noticed that the police is reluctant in registering FIR even in some of the abominable crimes committed in our society . 10 . It is worth

mentioning here that Hon'ble Supreme Court in Re : Assessment of the Criminal Justice System in response to the Sexual offences Law Finder Doc Id # 1646735 has observed that delay in matter of rape has in recent times created agitation / anxiety and unrest in the minds of people . As per settled law , every cognizable case is required to be promptly investigated in accordance with law , hence , thereis little room with the police to register or not to register an FIR once information of cognizable offence has been provided . It has been seen that non registration of the crime leads to delusion of rule of law and thus leads to definite lawlessness which is detrimental to the society as a whole . 11 . Harking back to the facts of the case , perusal of the application and the documents annexed by the applicant , unambiguously discloses commission of cognizable offence by accused No.1 to 7 with distinct attribution and role of each of the respective accused as delineated in the complaint . There are direct allegations of rape against accused No.1 Simarjeet Singh Bains , who is sitting MLA of Punjab . As per applicant , he misused his position and thereby committed rape and sexual assault uponher on various occasions. He being in dominating position has allegedly committed the alleged offenses in active connivance and assistance of the other co-accused. There are allegations against accused No.2 Karamjit Singh (who is brother of accused No.1) and accused No.5 Sukhchain Singh regarding sexual harassment and outraging the modesty of applicant. The entire episode emanated out of property dispute with accused No.5 Sukhchain Singh, who is a property dealer and had helped the complainant to purchase one house in January 2018. Likewise accused No.3 Baljinder Kaur is the ward President of accused No.1 party who allegedly has in order to screen the offender ie accused No. 1, destroyed the evidence by deleting the messages exchanged between the applicant and accused No.1, when the same were shown to her by the applicant and further told her that she should not resist him. Further more, there areallegations against accused No.4 Jasbir Kaur @ Bhabi who used to provide her house for alleged sexual exploitation of the applicant at the hands of accused No.1 Simarjeet Singh Bains. So much so that on 1.10.2020 as per the version of

applicant, she was again called at accused No.4's house where she was not present but her son who young boy of 26 years witnessed the physical exploitation of the applicant by accused no. 1. Accused No. 7 Gogi Sharma is the PA of accused No. 1 who went to the house of the father of the complainant and threatened him to take money and withdraw the complaint and also made him to talk with accused No.1 from his telephone. Still further, there are allegations against accused No.6 that on 16.11.2020 he alongwith accused No.2 went to the factory of complainant's brother and made similar offer and issued threats and thereafter they are extending similar threats through numerous persons and casting aspersions on the character of the applicant with the sole intention to break her mentally. So that she is forced to withdraw the complaint. 12. . Therefore, it is quite evident from the material on record and seriatum of events referred above that there are clear cut allegations of repeated and forcible sexual assault & harassment, destruction of evidence and criminal intimidation against accused No.1 to 7 as discussed in detail in the foregoing paragraph which discloses the commission of cognizable offenses. Palpably, as per the allegations leveled in the application, the role and attribution of the above said seven accused in commission of this detestable crime is writ large. Hence, the police is duty bound to register the FIR and investigate the matter. 13. With the clout accused No. 1 and his cohorts carry, their political sway would have dissuaded the police officials to extend an unbiased andjudicious approach to the abuse. The cries of help by a proverbial common man against an overbearing and powerful political figure are often found to faint to be heard at certain forums. The complainant had been steadfastly pursuing the complaint against all odds and the extraordinary narrative of the sexual exploitation of the complaint indeed warrants a through investigation. Since the means and medium for the collection of evidence available with the Investigating Agency cannot be equated by the complainant, if she is made to file a complaint under Section 200 of the Cr.P.C. The court at this juncture cannot turn a blind eye to the pitched accusations of the gross sexual abuse of the complainant in a systematic manner by the accused more particularly when

seemingly there is no cause for the complainant to falsely implicate the accused 14. . At this juncture, it is germane to add that the evidence to be collected in this case is beyond the reach of the complainant. Moreover, custodial interrogation of accused appears to be indispensable for discovery of certain facts and for recovery of incriminating evidence. This court is of the affirmed view that nature of allegations is such that the complainant herself may not be in a position to collect and produce evidence before the court and interest of justice demand that the police should step in to assist the complainant. Added thereto, this court of the considered view that allegations made in the complaint requires in - depth investigating which cannot be undertaken without the active assistance and expertise of state machinery. The footage of CCTV Cameras installed by the police at various places nearby the scene of crime are required to be collected. Similarly, many other intricate and technical aspects involved which can only be effectively dealt with by state agency. The complainant would not be in position to secure such kind of evidence on her own. This is a case of such peculiar nature where it would not be possible for complainant to muster evidence against influential accused who are in the helm of affairs. Here, the real cause of concern of this court is whether a miserable and poor victim of sexual exploitation be expected to get justice by lodging the private complaint and collecting evidence from a place alien to her and against the perpetrators who are might, powerful and resourceful . In case, the directions for registration of case and investigation is not made, the entire case of the complainant would crumble and it would tantamount to failure of justice, 15. Adverting to next issue regarding necessity of obtaining sanction, it is trite law that no sanction as envisaged under Section 197 Cr.PC is required in such like cases. The said protection is available only when the act is done or reasonably connected with the discharge of official duty. But in the present case, the allegations of rape and sexual harassment have no concern or connection with the discharge of official duty. Therefore, no sanction is required in the instant case for prosecuting accused no. 1 who is a public servant. This court is fortified by the dicta of

the Hon'ble Apex Court in landmark case titled as Parkash Singh Badal Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (1) RCR CrI. S.C 1. 16. In wake of above dilated deliberation and in view of serious allegations leveled in the complaint / application disclosing cognizable case, it becomes sine qua non that proper and thorough investigation Regarding commission of forcible rape, sexual harassment, destruction of evidence and criminal intimidation should be carried out by the local police. Hence, the instant application is allowed and complaint / application at hand is referred under section 156 (3) Cr.PC to SHO, PS Division No.6, Ludhiana for investigation (as the crime spot ie office of accused No.1 and house of accused No.4 Jasbir Kaur falls within the jurisdiction of this police station). 17. In view of above, SHO, PS Division No.6 is directed to register a criminal case at police station without any further delay treating the complaint / application of the complainant as First Information Report and investigate into the same. Complainant is directed to supply the copy of application complaint to the Naib Court for its onwards transmission to concerned Police Station Copy of this order be sent to SHO, PS Division No.6. Ludhiana for necessary compliance with the direction to send copy of FIR to this court pronoto and the present case is adjomed to 15.7.2021 for awaiting Compliance report. Pronounced in open court dated 7-7-21 Sd/ Harsimranjit Singh Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Ludhiana (UID PB 0234) Endst. No 452 Dated 07.07 2021 Copy forwarded to the SHO, PS Div No 6, Ludhiana for information and necessary compliance Sd/ Harsimranjit Singh Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Ludhiana (UID PB 0234) ਜੋ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਜੱਜ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਨੇ ਉਕਤਾਨ ਦੋਸੀਆਨ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਫਰਮਾਇਆ ਜਿਸ ਤੇ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਉਕਤ ਬਾ ਜੁਰਮ ਉਕਤ ਬਰਖਿਲਾਫ ਉਕਤਾਨ ਦੋਸੀਆਨ ਦੇ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਕਤ ਨੰਬਰੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ, ਅਸਲ ਹੁਕਮ ਸਮੇਤ ਨਕਲ FIR ਅਗਲੀ ਤਫਤੀਸ਼ ਲਈ ਮੁੱਖ ਅਫਸਰ ਹਦੈਤ ASI ਗੁਰਦੇਵ ਲਾਲ ਨੰ 179/JAL-R ਦੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ। ਸਪੈਸਲ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਹੱਥੀ ਹੋਲਦਾਰ ਸੁਖਦੇਵ ਸਰਮਾ ਨੰ 2759/ਲੁਧਿ ਦੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਇਲਾਕਾ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਤੇ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਅਫਸਰਾਨ ਬਾਲਾ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਭੇਜੀਆ ਜਾ ਰਹੀਆ ਹਨ। ਕੰਟਰੋਲ ਰੂਮ ਨੂੰ ਬਜਰੀਆ ਵਾਇਰਲੈਸ ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ। ਬੰਦੀ ਰਪਟ ਨੰ 39 AT 9-45 PM.

13 Action taken: Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2.

(ਕਾਰਵਾਈ : ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਨਾਲ ਪਤਾ ਚੱਲਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪਰਾਧ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਤਰੀਕਾ ਸੰ. ਨੰ 2 . ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਸਾਈ ਧਾਰਾ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ ਹੈ):

(1)Registered the case and took up the investigation (ਕੇਸ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਲੈ ਲਿਆ): or (ਜਾਂ)

(2)Directed (Name of I.O.): Rank
(ਤਫਤੀਸ਼ੀ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ): GURDEV LAL (ਅਹੁਦਾ): ASI (Assistant Sub-Inspector)
No(ਨੰ): 179/JAL-R to take up the Investigation (ਨੂੰ ਜਾਂਚ ਆਪ ਪਾਸ ਲੈਣ ਲਈ ਨਿਰਦੇਸ਼ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ) or(ਜਾਂ

(3)Refused investigation due to (ਕਿਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕੀਤਾ) :

or (ਜਾਂ)

(4)Transferred to P.S. District
(ਥਾਣਾ): (ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ):

on point of jurisdiction (ਹੱਦਬੰਦੀ ਕਾਰਣ) .

F.I.R.read over to the complainant/informant,admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant free of cost. (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਾਥਮਿਕੀ ਪੜ੍ਹ ਕੇ ਸੁਣਾਈ ਗਈ ,ਸਹੀ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਇਆ ਮੰਨਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਫਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ |)

R.O.A.C.(ਆਰ.ਓ .ਏ .ਸੀ.)

14. Signature/Thumb impression of the complainant / informant (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ/ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ / ਅੰਗੂਠੇ ਦਾ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ):

15. Date and time of dispatch to the court (ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਤਰੀਕ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਂ):

Signature of Officer in charge, Police Station

(ਥਾਣਾ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਹਸਤਾਖਰ)

Name(ਨਾਮ): SETHI KUMAR

Rank (ਅਹੁਦਾ): ASI (Assistant Sub-Inspector)

No(ਸੰ.): 872/LDH

Attachment to item 7 of First Information Report (ਅੱਵਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਮਦ 7 ਸੰਲਗਨਕ):

Physical features, deformities and other details of the suspect/accused: (If known / seen)

(ਸ਼ੱਕੀ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੀ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾ, ਵਿਕਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਵੇਰਵਾ: (ਜੇਕਰ ਵਾਕਿਫ/ਦੇਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ))

S.No. (ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.)	Sex (ਲਿੰਗ)	Date/Year of Birth (ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ/ਸਾਲ)	Build (ਬਣਾਵਟ)	Height (cms.)	Complexion (ਰੰਗ)	Identification Mark(s) (ਪਛਾਣ ਚਿੰਨ੍ਹ)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Male					Poxpitted: NO
2	Male					Poxpitted: NO
3	Female					Poxpitted: NO
4	Female					Poxpitted: NO
5	Male					Poxpitted: NO
6	Male					Poxpitted: NO
7	Male					Poxpitted: NO
Deformities/ Peculiarities (ਵਿਕਾਰ / ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾ)		Teeth (ਦੰਦ)	Hair (ਵਾਲ)	Eyes (ਅੱਖਾਂ)	Habit(s) (ਆਦਤਾਂ)	Dress Habit(s) (ਪਹਿਰਾਵਾ)
8		9	10	11	12	13
Language /Dialect (ਭਾਸ਼ਾ/ਬੋਲੀ)	Place Of(ਦਾ ਸਥਾਨ)					Others (ਹੋਰ)
	Burn Mark (ਸੜੇ ਹੋਏ ਦਾ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਨ)	Leucoderma (ਚਿੱਟਾ ਰੋਗ)	Mole (ਮਹੁਕਾ)	Scar (ਜ਼ਖਮ)	Tattoo (ਗੁੱਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਦਾ)	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20

These fields will be entered only if complainant/informant gives any one or more particulars about the suspect/accused. (ਇਹ ਖੇਤਰ ਤਦੋ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣਗੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ / ਸੂਚਨਾਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼ੱਕੀ / ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੋਈ ਇੱਕ ਜਾਂ ਵੱਧ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੰਦਾ ਹੈ)